

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,950	04/16/200	Yoshihiko Yano	206138US0	5372
22850	7590 08/	19/2002		
T	IVAK MCCLE	EXAMINER		
*	SON DAVIS HI	THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	1
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		#5%				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/834,950	YANO, YOSHIHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Camie S Thompson	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1. 	5) Notice of Informat	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

The state of the s

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to a fluorescent thin film and an electroluminescence panel, classified in class 428, subclass 690.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to a process for forming a fluorescent thin film, classified in class264, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a fluorescent thin film can be made by high temperature film deposition.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Frederk Vastine on 7/22/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

6. The claims in this application do not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52 (b). Appropriate correction is required in response to this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,950

Art Unit: 1774

8. Claims 1,3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chadha, U.S. Patent Nuamber 5,662,831.

Chadha discloses a luminescent phosphor made by combining an yttrium oxysulfide host material with a europium compound as the luminescent center as per instant claims 1, 3 and 5 (see column 3, lines 63-column 4, line 8). The reference also discloses that the luminescent phosphor can be used as a thin film and in high-resolution flat panel displays as per instant claims 1 and 6 (see column3, lines 59-62 and column 5, lines 57-61). Inherently, phosphors are fluorescent substances.

9. Claims 1,3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harkonen et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,314,759.

Harkonen discloses the use of a phosphor layer in a thin-film electroluminescent display having a host material such as lanthanum sulfide and europium or cerium as the luminescent center as per instant claims 1, 3 and 5 (see column 5, lines 25-64). Inherently, phosphors are fluorescent substances.

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Art Unit: 1774

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

11. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hampden-Smith et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,153,123.

The Hampden-Smith reference is drawn to sulfur-containing phosphor powders that are used thin films and produce fluorescent lighting and can be used as electroluminescence flat panel displays as per instant claims 1 and 6 (see abstract and column 42, lines 11-33). The reference discloses that the host material is yttrium oxysulfide and can be doped with aluminum and the luminescent center is europium as per instant claims 1-3 and 5 (see column 36, lines 59-68 and Table 1, column 37).

12. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Celtholy